

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8084 of 2004

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.N.PATEL

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

BECHERBHAI @ GHANSHYAMBHAI UMEDBHAI PATEL

Versus

DIRECTOR REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICE

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 8084 of 2004
MEENA VYAS for Petitioner No. 1
MS PJ DAVAWALA for Respondent No. 1
RULE SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 1
-

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.N.PATEL

Date of decision: 29/07/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. The petitioner has preferred this petition under
Article 226 of the Constitution of India, whereby he

seeks a direction against the respondent authority to carry out necessary change in the date of birth and the name of the petitioner in the passport, on the basis of the document placed at Annexure "C" (Collectively) to the petition.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent authority submits that no error has been committed by the respondent authority and that the date of birth and name of the petitioner mentioned in the passport were in accordance with the representation made by the petitioner.

3. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, the respondent authority is directed to carry out necessary change in the date of birth and the name of the petitioner in the passport, after verifying the genuineness and the correctness of the document which may be produced before it. As the error has been committed by the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to deposit the costs of Rs.5,000/-- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) with the respondent authority.

4. The petition is accordingly allowed to the aforesaid extent. Rule made absolute. Direct service is permitted.

[D.N.Patel, J.]

(vijay)